# Conductor ideals of affine monoids and K-theory

Joseph Gubeladze San Francisco State University

**AMS Special Session: Combinatorial Ideals and Applications** 

Fargo, 2016

## Outline

- Frobenius number of a numerical semigroup
- Affine monoid, normalization, seminormalization
- Conductor ideals & gaps in affine monoids
- Crash course in K -theory
- Affine monoid rings and their  ${\it K}$  -theory
- Nilpotence of higher K -theory of toric varieties
- Conjecture

Numerical semigroup is a sub-semigroup  $S\subset\mathbb{Z}_{\geq0}$  such that the set of gaps  $\mathbb{Z}\setminus S$  is finite

Numerical semigroup is a sub-semigroup  $S\subset\mathbb{Z}_{\geq0}$  such that the set of gaps  $\mathbb{Z}\setminus S$  is finite

The Frobenius number of a numerical semigroup S is the largest gap of S

Numerical semigroup is a sub-semigroup  $S \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  such that the set of gaps  $\mathbb{Z} \setminus S$  is finite

The Frobenius number of a numerical semigroup S is the largest gap of S

Every numerical semigroup S is generated by finitely many integers  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$  with  $gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = 1$ 

Numerical semigroup is a sub-semigroup  $S \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  such that the set of gaps  $\mathbb{Z} \setminus S$  is finite

The Frobenius number of a numerical semigroup S is the largest gap of S

Every numerical semigroup S is generated by finitely many integers  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$  with  $gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = 1$ 

Computing the Frobenius number of  $g(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}a_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}a_n$  is **hard**. The only value of n for which there is a formula is n = 2:

 $g(a_1, a_2) = a_1 a_2 - a_1 - a_2$ 

Numerical semigroup is a sub-semigroup  $S \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  such that the set of gaps  $\mathbb{Z} \setminus S$  is finite

The Frobenius number of a numerical semigroup S is the largest gap of S

Every numerical semigroup S is generated by finitely many integers  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$  with  $gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = 1$ 

Computing the Frobenius number of  $g(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}a_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}a_n$  is **hard**. The only value of n for which there is a formula is n = 2:

 $g(a_1, a_2) = a_1 a_2 - a_1 - a_2$ 

Huge existing literature – Postage Stamp Problem, Coin Problem, McNugget Problem (special case), Arnold Conjecture (on asymptotics of  $g(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ ), etc

An affine monoid is a finitely generated submonoid  $M \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ A positive affine monoid is an affine monoid with no non-zero  $\pm x \in M$ 

An affine monoid is a finitely generated submonoid  $M \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ A positive affine monoid is an affine monoid with no non-zero  $\pm x \in M$ A positive affine monoid  $M \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$  defines a rational polyhedral cone

 $C(M) := \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} M \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ 

An affine monoid is a finitely generated submonoid  $M \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ A positive affine monoid is an affine monoid with no non-zero  $\pm x \in M$ A positive affine monoid  $M \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$  defines a rational polyhedral cone

 $C(M) := \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} M \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ 

The subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  , generated by M , is the group of differences of M and denoted  ${\rm gp}(M)$ 

An affine monoid is a finitely generated submonoid  $M \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ A positive affine monoid is an affine monoid with no non-zero  $\pm x \in M$ A positive affine monoid  $M \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$  defines a rational polyhedral cone

 $C(M) := \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} M \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ 

The subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ , generated by M, is the group of differences of M and denoted gp(M)A (positive) affine monoid M is normal if

 $x \in \operatorname{gp}(M)$  &  $nx \in C(M)$  for some  $n \implies x \in M$ 

An affine monoid is a finitely generated submonoid  $M \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ A positive affine monoid is an affine monoid with no non-zero  $\pm x \in M$ A positive affine monoid  $M \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$  defines a rational polyhedral cone

 $C(M) := \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} M \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ 

The subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ , generated by M, is the group of differences of M and denoted gp(M)A (positive) affine monoid M is normal if

 $x \in \operatorname{gp}(M)$  &  $nx \in C(M)$  for some  $n \implies x \in M$ 

A (positive) affine monoid M is seminormal if

 $x \in \operatorname{gp}(M) \& 2x, 3x \in M \text{ for some } n \implies x \in M$ 

All affine affine monoids from this point on are positive

All affine affine monoids from this point on are positive

The normalization of M is the smallest normal submonoid  $\bar{M}\subset\mathbb{Z}^d$  containing M, i.e.,  $\bar{M}=C(M)\cap\mathrm{gp}(M)$  – 'saturation' of M

All affine affine monoids from this point on are positive

The normalization of M is the smallest normal submonoid  $\bar{M}\subset\mathbb{Z}^d$  containing M, i.e.,  $\bar{M}=C(M)\cap\mathrm{gp}(M)$  – 'saturation' of M

The seminormalization of M is the smallest seminormal submonoid  $\mathrm{sn}(M)\subset\mathbb{Z}^d$  containing M , i.e.,

$$\bar{M} = \{ x \in \mathbb{Z}^d \mid 2x, 3x \in M \}$$

- 'saturation' of M along the rational rays inside the cone C(M)

All affine affine monoids from this point on are positive

The normalization of M is the smallest normal submonoid  $\bar{M}\subset\mathbb{Z}^d$  containing M, i.e.,  $\bar{M}=C(M)\cap {\rm gp}(M)$  – 'saturation' of M

The seminormalization of M is the smallest seminormal submonoid  $\mathrm{sn}(M)\subset \mathbb{Z}^d$  containing M , i.e.,

$$\bar{M} = \{ x \in \mathbb{Z}^d \mid 2x, 3x \in M \}$$

- 'saturation' of M along the rational rays inside the cone C(M)

REMARK.  $F \cap \operatorname{sn}(M) = \operatorname{sn}(F \cap M)$  for every face  $F \subset C(M)$ 

All affine affine monoids from this point on are positive

The normalization of M is the smallest normal submonoid  $\bar{M}\subset\mathbb{Z}^d$  containing M, i.e.,  $\bar{M}=C(M)\cap {\rm gp}(M)$  – 'saturation' of M

The seminormalization of M is the smallest seminormal submonoid  $\mathrm{sn}(M)\subset\mathbb{Z}^d$  containing M , i.e.,

$$\bar{M} = \{ x \in \mathbb{Z}^d \mid 2x, 3x \in M \}$$

- 'saturation' of M along the rational rays inside the cone C(M)

REMARK.  $F \cap \operatorname{sn}(M) = \operatorname{sn}(F \cap M)$  for every face  $F \subset C(M)$ 

FACT.  $\overline{M} \cap \operatorname{int} C(M) = \operatorname{sn}(M) \cap \operatorname{int} C(M)$ 

The conductor ideal of an affine monoid M is

 $c_{\bar{M}/M} := \{ x \in \bar{M} \mid x + \bar{M} \subset M \} \subset M$ 

It is an ideal of M because  $\mathbf{c}_{\bar{M}/M} + M \subset M$ 

The conductor ideal of an affine monoid M is

$$c_{\bar{M}/M} := \{ x \in \bar{M} \mid x + \bar{M} \subset M \} \subset M$$

It is an ideal of M because  $\mathbf{c}_{\bar{M}/M} + M \subset M$ 

FACT.  $c_{\overline{M}/M} \neq \emptyset$ 

The conductor ideal of an affine monoid M is

 $c_{\bar{M}/M} := \{ x \in \bar{M} \mid x + \bar{M} \subset M \} \subset M$ 

It is an ideal of M because  $\mathbf{c}_{\bar{M}/M} + M \subset M$ 

FACT.  $c_{\overline{M}/M} \neq \emptyset$ 

Proof. Let  $\overline{M}$  is module finite over M. Let  $\{x_1 - y_1, \ldots, x_n - y_n\} \subset \operatorname{gp}(M)$  be a generating set  $x_i, y_i \in M$ . Then  $y_1 + \cdots + y_n \in \operatorname{c}_{\overline{M}/M}$ .  $\Box$ 

The conductor ideal of an affine monoid M is

 $c_{\bar{M}/M} := \{ x \in \bar{M} \mid x + \bar{M} \subset M \} \subset M$ 

It is an ideal of M because  $\mathbf{c}_{\bar{M}/M} + M \subset M$ 

FACT.  $c_{\overline{M}/M} \neq \emptyset$ 

Proof. Let  $\overline{M}$  is module finite over M. Let  $\{x_1-y_1, \ldots, x_n-y_n\} \subset \operatorname{gp}(M)$ be a generating set  $x_i, y_i \in M$ . Then  $y_1 + \cdots + y_n \in \operatorname{c}_{\overline{M}/M}$ .  $\Box$ (Katthän, 2015)

$$\overline{M} \setminus M = \bigcup_{j=1}^{l} (q_j + \operatorname{gp}(M \cap F)) \cap C(M),$$

where the  $F_j$  are faces of the cone C(M) and  $q_j \in \overline{M}$ 

The elements of  $\operatorname{sn}(M) \setminus M$  are gaps of M . Different from the set  $\bar{M} \setminus M$ 

The elements of  $\operatorname{sn}(M) \setminus M$  are gaps of M . Different from the set  $\bar{M} \setminus M$ 

For a numerical semigroup S , this is the same as  $ar{S}\setminus S$ 

The elements of  $\operatorname{sn}(M) \setminus M$  are gaps of M . Different from the set  $\bar{M} \setminus M$ 

For a numerical semigroup S , this is the same as  $ar{S}\setminus S$ 

Moreover,  $\mathbf{c}_{\bar{S}/S} = g(S) + \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  , where g(S) is the Frobenius number of S

The elements of  $\operatorname{sn}(M) \setminus M$  are gaps of M . Different from the set  $\bar{M} \setminus M$ 

For a numerical semigroup S , this is the same as  $ar{S}\setminus S$ 

Moreover,  $c_{\bar{S}/S} = g(S) + \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  , where g(S) is the Frobenius number of S

(Reid-Roberts, 2001) Let  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_d, v_{d+1}\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d$  be a circuit (no d elements are linearly dependent) and  $M = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}v_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}v_1$ .

The elements of  $\operatorname{sn}(M) \setminus M$  are gaps of M . Different from the set  $\bar{M} \setminus M$ 

For a numerical semigroup S , this is the same as  $ar{S}\setminus S$ 

Moreover,  $\mathbf{c}_{\bar{S}/S} = g(S) + \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  , where g(S) is the Frobenius number of S

(Reid-Roberts, 2001) Let  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_d, v_{d+1}\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d$  be a circuit (no d elements are linearly dependent) and  $M = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}v_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}v_1$ . Then

 $c_{\overline{M}/M} = g + \big(\operatorname{int} C(M) \cap \operatorname{gp}(M)\big)$ 

The elements of  $\operatorname{sn}(M) \setminus M$  are gaps of M . Different from the set  $\bar{M} \setminus M$ 

For a numerical semigroup S , this is the same as  $ar{S}\setminus S$ 

Moreover,  $c_{\bar{S}/S} = g(S) + \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  , where g(S) is the Frobenius number of S

(Reid-Roberts, 2001) Let  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_d, v_{d+1}\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d$  be a circuit (no d elements are linearly dependent) and  $M = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}v_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}v_1$ . Then

$$c_{\bar{M}/M} = g + \big(\operatorname{int} C(M) \cap \operatorname{gp}(M)\big)$$

where

$$g = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{d+1} d_i v_i\right)/2 - \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} v_i$$

 $d_i$  being the order of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  modulo  $v_1, \ldots, v_{i-1}, v_{i+1}, \ldots, v_{d+1}$ 

Grothendieck's group  $K_0(R)$  of a ring R measures how far the projective R -modules overall are from being free (actually, stably free, which is a certain functorial weakening of 'free'')

Grothendieck's group  $K_0(R)$  of a ring R measures how far the projective R -modules overall are from being free (actually, stably free, which is a certain functorial weakening of 'free'')

Bass-Whitead group  $K_1(R)$  measures how far the invertible matrices over R overall are from being diagonalizable via elementary row (or column) transformations. (Again, in the stable sense)

Grothendieck's group  $K_0(R)$  of a ring R measures how far the projective R -modules overall are from being free (actually, stably free, which is a certain functorial weakening of 'free'')

Bass-Whitead group  $K_1(R)$  measures how far the invertible matrices over R overall are from being diagonalizable via elementary row (or column) transformations. (Again, in the stable sense)

Milnor's group  $K_2(R)$  measures how many *essentially* different diagonalizations overall there exist for all possible diagonalizable invertible R -matrices

Grothendieck's group  $K_0(R)$  of a ring R measures how far the projective R -modules overall are from being free (actually, stably free, which is a certain functorial weakening of 'free'')

Bass-Whitead group  $K_1(R)$  measures how far the invertible matrices over R overall are from being diagonalizable via elementary row (or column) transformations. (Again, in the stable sense)

Milnor's group  $K_2(R)$  measures how many *essentially* different diagonalizations overall there exist for all possible diagonalizable invertible R -matrices

Higher groups  $K_i(R)$  do not admit transparent definitions in terms of classical algebraic objects, they are higher homotopy variants of  $K_0$ ,  $K_1$ ,  $K_2$ 

Grothendieck's group  $K_0(R)$  of a ring R measures how far the projective R -modules overall are from being free (actually, stably free, which is a certain functorial weakening of 'free'')

Bass-Whitead group  $K_1(R)$  measures how far the invertible matrices over R overall are from being diagonalizable via elementary row (or column) transformations. (Again, in the stable sense)

Milnor's group  $K_2(R)$  measures how many essentially different diagonalizations overall there exist for all possible diagonalizable invertible R -matrices

Higher groups  $K_i(R)$  do not admit transparent definitions in terms of classical algebraic objects, they are higher homotopy variants of  $K_0$ ,  $K_1$ ,  $K_2$ 

Informally, these groups are syzygies between elementary transformation of invertibe matricces over R. Formally, they are higher homotopy groups of a certain K -theoretical space, associated to R (Quillen, the 1970s)

## K-theory of monoid rings

Let R be a (commutative) regular ring and  $M \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$  an affine monoid

Let R be a (commutative) regular ring and  $M \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$  an affine monoid

**(Grothendieck)**  $K_0(R) = K_0(R[X_1, ..., X_d]) \quad (= K_0(R[\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d]))$ 

Let R be a (commutative) regular ring and  $M \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$  an affine monoid (Grothendieck)  $K_0(R) = K_0(R[X_1, \dots, X_d])$   $(= K_0(R[\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d]))$ (Quillen)  $K_*(R) = K_*(R[X_1, \dots, X_d])$   $(= K_*(R[\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d]))$ 

Let R be a (commutative) regular ring and  $M \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$  an affine monoid (Grothendieck)  $K_0(R) = K_0(R[X_1, \dots, X_d])$   $(= K_0(R[\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d]))$ (Quillen)  $K_*(R) = K_*(R[X_1, \dots, X_d])$   $(= K_*(R[\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d]))$ 

(G., 1988)  $K_0(R) = K_0(R[M])$  iff  $M = \operatorname{sn}(M)$ 

Let R be a (commutative) regular ring and  $M \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$  an affine monoid (Grothendieck)  $K_0(R) = K_0(R[X_1, \dots, X_d])$   $(= K_0(R[\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d]))$ (Quillen)  $K_*(R) = K_*(R[X_1, \dots, X_d])$   $(= K_*(R[\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d]))$ (G., 1988)  $K_0(R) = K_0(R[M])$  iff  $M = \operatorname{sn}(M)$ Corollary:  $K_0(R[M])/K_0(R) \cong R(\operatorname{sn}(M) \setminus M)$  when  $\mathbb{Q} \subset R$ 

Let R be a (commutative) regular ring and  $M \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$  an affine monoid (Grothendieck)  $K_0(R) = K_0(R[X_1, \dots, X_d])$   $(= K_0(R[\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d]))$ (Quillen)  $K_*(R) = K_*(R[X_1, \dots, X_d])$   $(= K_*(R[\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d]))$ (G., 1988)  $K_0(R) = K_0(R[M])$  iff  $M = \operatorname{sn}(M)$ Corollary:  $K_0(R[M])/K_0(R) \cong R(\operatorname{sn}(M) \setminus M)$  when  $\mathbb{Q} \subset R$ (G., 1992)  $K_*(R) = K_*(R[M])$  iff  $M \cong \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r$  for some  $r \ge 0$ 

Let R be a (commutative) regular ring and  $M \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$  an affine monoid (Grothendieck)  $K_0(R) = K_0(R[X_1, ..., X_d]) \quad (= K_0(R[\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d]))$ (Quillen)  $K_*(R) = K_*(R[X_1, \dots, X_d]) \quad (= K_*(R[\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d]))$ (G., 1988)  $K_0(R) = K_0(R[M])$  iff  $M = \operatorname{sn}(M)$ **Corollary:**  $K_0(R[M])/K_0(R) \cong R(\operatorname{sn}(M) \setminus M)$  when  $\mathbb{Q} \subset R$ (G., 1992)  $K_*(R) = K_*(R[M])$  iff  $M \cong \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^r$  for some  $r \ge 0$ (G., 2005) Assume  $\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $c \geq 2$ . Then high iterations of the homothety M o M , defined by  $m \mapsto cm$  , kill  $K_*(R[M])/K_*(R)$ 

Let R be a (commutative) regular ring and  $M \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$  an affine monoid (Grothendieck)  $K_0(R) = K_0(R[X_1, ..., X_d]) \quad (= K_0(R[\mathbb{Z}_{>0}^d]))$ (Quillen)  $K_*(R) = K_*(R[X_1, \dots, X_d]) \quad (= K_*(R[\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d]))$ (G., 1988)  $K_0(R) = K_0(R[M])$  iff  $M = \operatorname{sn}(M)$ **Corollary:**  $K_0(R[M])/K_0(R) \cong R(\operatorname{sn}(M) \setminus M)$  when  $\mathbb{Q} \subset R$ (G., 1992)  $K_*(R) = K_*(R[M])$  iff  $M \cong \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^r$  for some  $r \ge 0$ (G., 2005) Assume  $\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $c \geq 2$ . Then high iterations of the homothety M o M , defined by  $m \mapsto cm$  , kill  $K_*(R[M])/K_*(R)$ 

(Cortiñas, Haesemayer, Walker, Weibel, announced in 2016) The condition  $\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{R}$  in the statement above can be dropped

**Conjecture.** R a regular ring, containing  $\mathbb{Q}$ : for every finitely generated monomial algebra R[M] without nontrivial units we have the equality

**Conjecture.** R a regular ring, containing  $\mathbb{Q}$ : for every finitely generated monomial algebra R[M] without nontrivial units we have the equality

 $K_i(R[M])/K_i(R) \cong$  (a finitely generated M -graded thin R[M] -module)

and on this module the map  $M\to M$  ,  $m\mapsto cm$  , acts by dilating the M -degrees by factor c .

**Conjecture.** R a regular ring, containing  $\mathbb{Q}$ : for every finitely generated monomial algebra R[M] without nontrivial units we have the equality

 $K_i(R[M])/K_i(R) \cong$  (a finitely generated M -graded thin R[M] -module)

and on this module the map  $M\to M$  ,  $m\mapsto cm$  , acts by dilating the M -degrees by factor c .

Informally, the mentioned thinness means that every element of  $K_i(R[M])/K_i(R)$  is pushed by sufficiently high iterations of the map  $M \to M$ ,  $m \mapsto cm$ , to the M-graded zero zone. In particular, this conjecture implies the aforementioned nilpotence of  $K_i(R[M])/K_i(R)$ .

**Conjecture.** R a regular ring, containing  $\mathbb{Q}$ : for every finitely generated monomial algebra R[M] without nontrivial units we have the equality

 $K_i(R[M])/K_i(R) \cong$  (a finitely generated M -graded thin R[M] -module)

and on this module the map  $M\to M$  ,  $m\mapsto cm$  , acts by dilating the M -degrees by factor c .

Informally, the mentioned thinness means that every element of  $K_i(R[M])/K_i(R)$  is pushed by sufficiently high iterations of the map  $M \to M$ ,  $m \mapsto cm$ , to the M-graded zero zone. In particular, this conjecture implies the aforementioned nilpotence of  $K_i(R[M])/K_i(R)$ .

It is known that  $K_i(R[M])/K_i(R)$  is an R-module; this follows from the Bloch-Stienstra action of the big Witt vectors.



## REFERENCES

J. Gubeladze, *K-theory of toric varieties revisited* (survey), J. Homotopy Relat. Struct. 9 (2014), 9–23, and many references therein

L. Katthän, *Non-normal affine monoid algebras*, manuscripta math. 146, (2015) 223–233

L. Reid and L. Roberts, *Monomial Subrings in Arbitrary Dimension*, Journal of Algebra 236, (2001)707–730

## REFERENCES

J. Gubeladze, *K-theory of toric varieties revisited* (survey), J. Homotopy Relat. Struct. 9 (2014), 9–23, and many references therein

L. Katthän, *Non-normal affine monoid algebras*, manuscripta math. 146, (2015) 223–233

L. Reid and L. Roberts, *Monomial Subrings in Arbitrary Dimension*, Journal of Algebra 236, (2001)707–730

## Thank you