

Infinite Product (수정4)

All rings are commutative with identity.

For a UFD D , $D[[x]]$ need not be UFD. Samuel's counterexample.

Conjecture:

1. $D[[x]]$ is a UFD if and only if D is a GCD domain.
2. For a valuation domain V ,
 $V[[x]]$ is a UFD if and only if V is a GCD domain.

Theorem. Let V be a rank-one valuation domain. If $V[[x]]$ is a GCD domain, then the infinite product $\prod_i x - a_i$ exists for all a_i such that the sum of $v(a_i)$ is finite.

Definition. Let a , and b be elements of a ring R . We say that a is the infinite product of a_1, \dots, a_n, \dots if

- (1) $a_1 \dots a_n$ divides a for all n .
- (2) If $a_1 \dots a_n$ divides b for all n , then a divides b .

Definition. A very weak UFR (unique factorization ring) is a ring, whose every element is either the finite product or the infinite product of prime elements. A very weak UFD is a very weak UFR, which is an integral domain.

Definition. A ring R is a super weak UFR if it is a vw UFR and if for prime elements p_1, \dots, p_n, \dots of R , $p_1 \dots p_n$ divides an element a of D for each n , there exists the infinite product of p_1, \dots, p_n, \dots . A super weak UFD is a sw UFR, which is an integral domain.

Example. The ring of entire functions is a super weak UFD.

Remark. Every bounded descending sequence in a super weak UFR has a limit. In fact, every bounded descending sequence has a limit. It is either zero (that is, every element smaller than all the elements of the sequence is zero) or some nonzero element.

Theorem. Let a be the infinite product of prime elements a_n 's. Then a is the infinite product of any permutations of a_n 's.

Proof. Let σ be a permutation on the natural numbers. Then $a_{\sigma(1)} \dots a_{\sigma(n)}$ is a part of $a_1 \dots a_m$, where m is bigger than $\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(n)$. So $a_{\sigma(1)} \dots a_{\sigma(n)}$ divides a . Suppose that $a_{\sigma(1)} \dots a_{\sigma(n)}$ divides b for all n . Let k be a natural number. Then

$$a_1 \dots a_k = a_{\sigma(\eta(1))} \dots a_{\sigma(\eta(k))}$$

, where η is the inverse function of σ , is a part of

$a_{\sigma(1)} \cdots a_{\sigma(l)}$

, where $l > \eta(1), \dots, \eta(k)$. So $a_1 \cdots a_k$ divides b for all k . So a divides b . So a is the infinite product of $a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(n)}, \dots$

Theorem. The above representation in a domain is unique up to units.

Proof. Let a be the infinite product of prime elements p_1, \dots, p_n, \dots . We show that p_n 's are the only prime divisors of a . Let p be a prime dividing a and $a = px$. If p is not one of p_n 's, then each $p_1 \cdots p_n$ divides x . So a divides x . Hence p is a unit, a contradiction. Therefore p is one of the p_n 's.

Example. $\prod D_n$, where each D_n is a UFD, is a UFR.

Example of a sw UFD, which is not a UFD. The ring of entire functions is such an example.

Theorem. A UFD is a sw UFD.

Theorem(?). Let D be a domain and S be the set of elements that are not infinite products of prime elements. Then S is a m.s. and D_S is a vw UFD.

Remark. accp need not hold for a vw UFR. The ring of entire functions.

A v w UFD might not be a GCD domain.

Theorem. A sw UFD is a GCD domain.

Corollary. A sw UFD is an integrally closed domain.

Theorem. The polynomial ring over a sw UFD is also a sw UFD.

Definition. A polynomial f is v -primitive if $(c_f)_v = 1$.

Theorem. In a GCD domain, an irreducible v -primitive element is a prime element.

Remark. The power series ring over a sw UFD need not be a sw UFD. Note that the power series ring over a UFD is a UFD if and only if it is a GCD domain.

Questions.

1. $D[[x]]$ is a UFD if and only if it is a sw UFD?
2. $D[[x]]$ is a UFD if and only if it is a GCD domain?

Theorem. A valuation domain is a sw UFD if and only if it is a UFD.

Lemma. In a sw UFD, an irreducible element is a prime element.

Lemma. Let D be a sw UFD and S be an arbitrary countable subset of D . Then the lcm of S exists.

Theorem. A sw UFD is a pseudo principal domain, that is, all divisorial ideals are principal.

Corollary. If D is a sw UFD, then $(C_{fg})_v = (C_f C_g)_v$ for all f, g in $D[[x]]$. So D is completely integrally closed.

Theorem. Let V be a rank-one valuation domain. If $V[[x]]$ is a GCD domain, then the infinite product $\prod_i (x - a_i)$ exists for all a_i such that the sum of $v(a_i)$ is finite.

Proof. Let a_i be such that the sum of $v(a_i)$ is finite. By choosing suitable increasing sequence of prime numbers p_i and q_i , we can define the infinite product f and g of $(x^{p_i} - a_i^{p_i})$ and $(x^{q_i} - a_i^{q_i})$. Let h be the gcd of f and g . Then each $x - a_i$ divides h . So $\sum v(a_i) \leq v(h_0)$. Let s be a prime factor of f other than $x - a_i$. Then s cannot divide $g/(x - a_i)$. (Note that any b in $V[[x]]$ with unit content is a product of finitely many prime elements.) For otherwise f and g has a common prime factor, say t and in the extension domain $V[[x]]/(t)$ of V , $f = g = 0$. However $x^{p_i} = a_i^{p_i}$ and $x^{q_i} = a_i^{q_i}$ and hence $x = a_i$ in $V[[x]]/(t)$, which implies $x - a_i \in (t)$. So $x - a_i = t$, a contradiction. Thus s cannot divide $g/(x - a_i)$. So s

should divide g/h . So $v(h_0) = \sum v(a_i)$ hence h is the infinite product of $x-a_i$.

Lemma. Let V be a valuation domain and I be an ideal of $V[[x]]$. If $I:x=I$ and f is an element of I such that $v(f(0))$ is the smallest among I , then $I=(f)$.

Lemma. Let V be a rank-one valuation domain and f be a primitive prime element. Then $V[[x]]/(f)$ is a 1-dimensional domain.

Lemma. Let V be a rank-one valuation domain and f be a nonunit primitive prime element. Then there is a rank-one valuation extension domain W of V , where f has a zero.

Proof. Every nonunit primitive power series f is a product of prime elements of $V[[x]]$. Let $p(z)$ be a prime factor of $f(z)$. Then $V[[z]]/(p)$ is a 1-dimensional quasi-local domain, which is an extension ring of V . Let W be a complete rank-one valuation domain centered on the maximal ideal of V . Then $z+(p)$ is a zero of $f(x)$ in $W[[x]]$. QED.

Theorem. Let V be a rank-one valuation domain with value group R the real numbers. Then there is a rank-one (complete) valuation domain W centered on V

such that every nonconstant nonunit power series has a zero in W and is the infinite product of infinitely many $x-z$.

Proof. Let f be a nonconstant nonunit power series. Choose a nonunit m so that its value is small enough to make $f(mx)$ a nonconstant nonunit power series. Then $f=ag$, where a is in V and g is a nonunit nonconstant primitive power series. Choose a rank-one valuation extension domain of V , where g has a zero. Then f has a zero in W . Let S be the collection of rank-one valuation extensions of V . Partially order S by $W=W'$ or $W < W'$ iff $W=W'$ or W' is an extension of W , W' is centered on W , there is a nonunit nonconstant power series f in $W[[x]]$, which do not have a zero in W but in W' . We claim that S has a maximal element. Let W_n be a chain in S . Then the union is an upper bound for the chain W_n . Let W be a maximal element. Then every nonunit nonconstant power series of W has a zero in W . For otherwise W has a rank-one valuation extension W' centered on W , where f has a zero, and hence $W < W'$, a contradiction. QED.

Definition. Let V be a rank-one valuation domain with value group R the real numbers. Then there is a rank-one (complete) valuation domain W centered on V

such that every nonconstant nonunit power series has a zero in W and is the infinite product of infinitely many $x-z$. W is called a **power closure** of V .